SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002 (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 782 / 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Prabha Devi,
W/o Sarju Prasad,
Aged about 30 years,
R/o Village – Champarwa,
(Kamleshwarpur Block Mainpat
Tehsil – Sitapur ,
Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 92742
Presented by A.N. Pandey
2/2/07

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattishgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Women and child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector (Women and Child Development), Sarguja-(C.G.)

3. The Project Officer, Women and Child Development, Mainpat, Tahsil- Sitapur,
Dist : Sarguja (C.G.)

4. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchyat Mainpat District-Sarguja (C.G.)

5. Smt. Rajwanti Gupta
W/o Late Mohan Gupta,
R/o Village Champrwa
Kamleshwarpur Block Mainpat,
District Sarguja (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
2/2/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG2073/06
02/04/09
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS,CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 782 of 2007

(30)

Petitioner Smt. Prabha Devi

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. A.N. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents No. 1 to 3/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 9th day of February, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner challenges the validity of the appointment of the respondent No. 5 as Aanganwadi Worker at Champkha on the ground that the respondent No. 5 belongs to General category. Her family is not living below the poverty line and her relative is 'Panch'. The petitioner belongs to Scheduled Caste category and her family is living below the poverty line (BPL). Therefore, the petitioner has preferential right to the appointment.

2. In view of that, without expressing any opinion on facts as well as on merit, the Collector, Sarguja, is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganwadi Scheme and law.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu